arXiv:1207.6377v1 [math.GN] 26 Jul 2012

CARDINAL CHARACTERISTICS AND MONOTONICALLY NORMAL COMPACTA

AHMAD FARHAT

Abstract. Hausdorff spaces that are continuous images of a compact linearly ordered topological spaces are studied by employing a back-and-forth argument (between such spaces and compact linearly ordered topological spaces).

Introduction

Let X be a Hausdorff space which is a continuous image of a compact linearly ordered topological space (LOTS), $\mathcal{P}$ be a cardinal characteristic, and $\mathcal{A}$ a topological property. Consider the following back-and-forth scheme:

(1) If X satisfies $\mathcal{P}$, is it possible to "pull-back" $\mathcal{P}$ from X to some compact LOTS K which maps continuously onto X?
(2) If (1) is possible, and we know that every compact LOTS satisfying $\mathcal{P}$ must also satisfy A, is it true then that X also satisfies A?

Indeed, (1) had been considered as far back as 1962 by Mardesic and Papic [5]; theorem 1 down concisely presents most of their work. We also study (2), the push-forward part of the scheme, obtaining several applications. In addition, we prove a continuum-theoretic embedding theorem. By Nikiel's conjecture, all our results can be formulated for monotonically normal compacta.

1. Basic results

All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff, and all maps continuous. The scripts χ, ψ, c, d, w, and nw refer to character, pseudo-character, cellularity, density, weight, and net-weight, respectively.

A triplet $(K, \mathcal{T}, \leq)$, where K is a set, $\mathcal{T}$ a topology on K, and $\leq$ a linear order on K, is called a *linearly ordered topological spaces* (LOTS, or, ordered space).

A subset $C \subseteq (L, \leq)$ is said to be *convex* if whenever $a, b, c \in L$ are such that $a, b \in C$ and $a < c < b$, then $c \in C$. An *order component* of a subset $A \subseteq L$ is a subset $C \subseteq L$ which is maximal with respect to the properties "$C \subseteq A$, and C is convex". It is clear that each order component of each open set is open.

A map $f \colon K \to X$ from a LOTS K to a space X is said to be *order-light* if for each $x \in X$, every order component of $f^{-1}(x)$ is degenerate.

A surjective map $f \colon X \to Y$ is called *irreducible* if $f(A) \neq Y$ for each proper closed subset $A \subseteq X$. Equivalently, f is irreducible iff for each nonempty open subset $U \subseteq X$, $f^{\sharp}(U) := Y \setminus f(X \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$.

Let's call a surjective map $f \colon K \to X$ from a LOTS K to a space X reduced iff it is irreducible and order-light.

Date: July 27, 2012.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A35, 54D30, 54D15.

Lemma 1 ([12], [5])**.** *Let X be a continuous image of an ordered compactum. Then there are an ordered compactum K and a reduced map $f : K \to X$.*

The proof of the lemma is more or less straightforward. Ensuring that f is irreducible follows easily from Kuratowski-Zorn lemma. To produce an order-light map from an original map g from a compact LOTS K' onto X, we identify order components of fibers of g.

The proof of the following theorem occupies the bulk of [5]. Following is a concise proof.

Theorem 1. *Let X be an image of an ordered compactum. Then there are an ordered compactum K and a map $f : K \to X$ onto X such that*

(1) *for every $k \in K$, $\chi(k, K) \leq \chi(f(k), X)$, so that $\chi(K) \leq \chi(X)$, and*
(2) *$c(K) = c(X)$, $d(K) = d(X)$, and $w(K) = w(X)$.*

Proof. Let $f\colon K \to X$ be a reduced map from a compact LOTS K onto X. Recall that in compact spaces, character and pseudo-character coincide, as well as weight and net-weight.
(1) For x, y distinct elements of K with $f(x) = f(y)$, there is a $z \in K$ between x and y such that $f(z) \neq f(x)$. Let $k \in K$. Put $\kappa = \chi(f(k), X)$, and choose a local basis $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ for X at the point $f(k)$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let U_α be the order component of $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ containing k. It will be shown that $\bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} U_\alpha = \{k\}$, so that $\chi(k, K) = \psi(k, K) \leq \kappa$. Let $m \neq k$.
Case 1. $f(k) \neq f(m)$. Let $\alpha < \kappa$ be such that $f(m) \notin V_\alpha$. Clearly $m \notin U_\alpha$.
Case 2. $f(k) = f(m)$. Then there is an l between k and m such that $f(l) \neq f(k)$. Let $\alpha < \kappa$ be such that $f(l) \notin V_\alpha$. Since U_α is convex, $m \notin U_\alpha$.
In both cases, $m \notin \bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} U_\alpha$.
(2) Since f is irreducible, $c(X) = c(Y)$. This follows from the well-known fact that the existence of an irreducible map between two compact spaces implies that they have the same cellularity.
That $d(K) = d(X)$ is also attributable to folklore. To see this, choose a dense subset $D \subset X$. For each $d \in D$ select one point $d' \in f^{-1}(d)$, and form $D' = \overline{\{d' : d \in D\}}$. Recall that a compact GO-space is a LOTS. $D' = K$, for otherwise, irreducibility will be contradicted.
Finally, let $\mathcal{B}$ be a base for X of cardinality $w(X)$, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of all order components of elements $f^{-1}(B), B \in \mathcal{B}$. It's easy to see that $\mathcal{U}$ forms a base for K (by (1), it contains a local base at each point), and $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{B}| \cdot c(X) = w(X)$. Hence, $w(K) \leq w(X)$. On the other hand, by compactness, f does not increase weight. □

Corollary 1. *Let P be a property from {first countable, ccc, separable}. Each space which satisfies P and is a continuous image of a compact LOTS is a continuous image of a compact LOTS which satisfies P.*

Let us say that a map $f\colon X \to Y$ is 1-1 on a subset $A \subseteq X$ if for each $x \in A$, $f^{-1}(f(x)) = \{x\}$.

Corollary 2. *Each first countable monotonically normal compactum contains a dense non-archimedean subspace.*

Proof. Let X be a first coutable space which is an image of a compact LOTS, and let f be a reduced map from a compact LOTS K onto X. K is then first countable, and f is 1-1 on a dense subset A of K [1]. A theorem of Qiao and Tall [6] states that any first countable LOTS has a dense non-archimedean subspace. A minor adjustment of the proof shows that this result still holds for first countable GO-spaces. Hence, A has a dense non-archimedean subspace B. To see that $Y := f(B)$ is a dense non-archimedean subspace of X, it suffices to show that the bijection $f|_B : B \to Y$ is an open map. Let $U \subseteq B$ be an open set of B. Then $U = B \cap V$ for some V open in K, and since f is 1-1 on B,

$$f|_B(U) = f(U) = f^\sharp(U) = f^\sharp(V) \cap f^\sharp(B) = f^\sharp(V) \cap Y,$$

which is open in Y. $\square$

2. Embedding theorems

For a space X and a subset Y of X, denote by $\mathbf{K}(X \setminus Y)$ the family of all components of $X \setminus Y$. Let X be a locally connected continuum, and let A be a closed subset of X. A is said to be a *T-set*[1] in X if $bd(C)$ consists of exactly two points for each $C \in \mathbf{K}(X \setminus A)$. If each $C \in \mathbf{K}(X - A)$ is homeomorphic to $(0,1)$, A is said to be a *strong T-set* in X.

A jump in a LOTS is a subset $\{x, y\}$ such that there is no point between x and y. A LOTS which is also a continuum is called an arc.

Lemma 1 of Treybig has been mostly used in conjuction with "fillings" (of jumps) of compact ordered spaces to produce embedding theorems. In particular, Nikiel [7] proved that for each space X which is a continuous image of a compact LOTS, there is a locally connected continuum $\widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{X}$ is a continuous image of an arc, and X embeds as a strong T-set in $\widetilde{X}$.

Since Nikiel's argument will be needed in the sequel, it is better to sketch it presently: Let X be a space which is a continuous image of a compact LOTS K under a reduced mapping f. Embed K into an arc A by inserting a copy of the open unit interval $(0,1)$ into each jump of K. Consider the upper semicontinuous decomposition $\mathcal{A}$ of A into sets $f^{-1}(x), x \in X$, and one-point sets $\{a\}$, $a \in A \setminus K$. The quotient space $\widetilde{X} = A/\mathcal{A}$ is a locally connected continuum which is a continuous image of an arc, and in which the space X embeds as a strong T-set.

The following is a generalization of Nikiel's theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let X be an image of a compact LOTS. Then there is a locally connected continuum $\widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{X}$ is a continuous image of an arc, X embeds as a strong T-set in $\widetilde{X}$,*

1. $\chi(\widetilde{X}) \leq \chi(X)$, *and for each $x \in X$, $\chi(x, \widetilde{X}) \leq \chi(x, X)$, and*
2. $w(\widetilde{X}) = w(X)$.

To prove the theorem, we follow the aforementioned outline to embed X as a strong T-set into a locally connected continuum $\widetilde{X}$ which is a continuous image of an arc. $\widetilde{X}$ is obtained by adding copies of $(0,1)$ to X.

Proceeding, we give two proofs for theorem 2; the first proof shows that it can be obtained as a corollary of theorem 1, and the second gives it an independent continuum-theoretic proof. We chose to give the second proof, because theorem 1 part (1) can actually be derived from theorem 2, showing the interplay between order-theoretic and continuum-theoretic aspects here (we will outline this in a remark after the proofs). Indeed, lemma 1 is the order-theoretic version of the monotone-light decomposition from continuum theory.

---

[1] T for Treybig, terminology due to Nikiel.

Proof 1. By theorem 1, for each $k \in K$, $\chi(k, K) \leq \chi(f(k), X)$. A is obtained by inserting copies of $(0,1)$ in jumps of K. It suffices to see that for each $k \in K$, $\chi(k, K) \leq \chi(k, A)$. Let $k \in K$, $\kappa = \chi(k, K)$, and $\{U_\alpha \colon \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a local base for K at k. We may assume without loss of generality that for each $\alpha < \kappa$, U_α is convex. Form the family $\{V_\alpha \colon \alpha < \kappa\}$ of subsets of A, where each V_α is obtained by taking the union of U_α with all added copies of $(0,1)$ whose set of endpoints has a non-empty intersection with U_α. It is easy to see that that $\bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} V_\alpha = \{k\}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of subsets of a space X. $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *null family* in X if for each open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X, the collection of all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ that are contained in no single $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is finite. When X is compact, it can be shown that $\mathcal{A}$ is a null family in X if and only if for every two disjoint closed subsets G and H of X the pair of inequalities $A \cap G \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap H$ holds for at most finitely many $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is obvious that if $f : X \to Y$ is a map between spaces X and Y, and $\mathcal{A}$ is a null family in X, then $\{f(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a null family in Y.

Proof 2. The family $\mathcal{A}$ of open arcs added to X (to obtain $\widetilde{X}$) is a null family in $\widetilde{X}$. For, by the above note, it is enough to show that the family $\mathcal{O}$ of copies of $(0,1)$ inserted in jumps of K is a null family of open arcs in K. This is a pleasant and easy observation (see e.g. [5]), but we sketch the argument for convenience: supose otherwise; i.e. that that there are two disjoint closed subsets G and H of K and a sequence $\{O_i\}_{i<\omega} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ such that for all $i < \omega, O_i \cap G \neq \emptyset \neq O_i \cap H$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that this sequence is chosen in a monotnoe way, i.e. that a random sequence $\{o_i : o_i \in O_i\}_{i<\omega}$ is monotone. For each $i \in \omega$ choose two points $k_i^0 \in O_i \cap G$ and $k_i^1 \in O_i \cap H$. It is easily seen that the limits of the sequences $\{k_i^0\}_{i<\omega}$ and $\{k_i^0\}_{i<\omega}$ can not be different. This contradicts the fact that $G \cap H = \emptyset$.

Next, we show that the character at points of X is preserved when we move to $\widetilde{X}$. Let $x \in X$, $\kappa = \chi(x, X)$, and $\{U_\alpha \colon \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a family of open subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} U_\alpha = \{x\}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a null family in $\widetilde{X}$, for each α, the subfamily $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ each of whose members intersects both $\{x\}$ and $\widetilde{X} \setminus U_\alpha$ is finite. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the family of copies of $[0,1]$ each of which is obtained from an open arc $(0,1)$ from $\mathcal{A}$ by adding to it its endpoints. For each $\alpha < \kappa$ and each $i < \omega$, let

$$V_\alpha^i = U_\alpha \cup \bigcup\{I \in \mathcal{I} : (|I \cap U_\alpha| = 2) \vee (x \notin I \wedge |I \cap U_\alpha| = 1)\}$$

$$\cup \bigcup\{[x, \frac{1}{i}) : (\exists I \in \mathcal{I})(\{x\} = U_\alpha \cap I)\},$$

where each $[x, \frac{1}{i})$ is the intial part of an arc from $\mathcal{I}$ (we're taking only finitely many of them). Clearly, $\bigcap_{i<\omega} \bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} V_\alpha^i = \{x\}$.

It is also easy to see that each $x \in \widetilde{X} \setminus X$ has countable character. This proves (1).

For (2), simply note that the weight of a LOTS is equal to the order-density of the LOTS as an ordered set. This means that already the weight of K is greater than the number of jumps of K. $\square$

Corollary 3. *Each first countable monotonically normal compactum can embedded as a strong T-set into a first countable locally connected monotonically normal continuum.*

Remark 1. Let's outline how theorem 2 yields an alternative proof of theorem 1 (for brevity we'll only do it in the first countable case): let X be a first countable space which is an image of a compact LOTS. X embeds into a locally connected continuum $\widetilde{X}$ which is first countable and a continuous image of an arc. Nikiel's characterization of continuous images of arcs [8] implies that $\widetilde{X}$ can be (strongly) approximated by finite dendrons, so that $\widetilde{X}$ is a continuous image of a dendron T which is, in addition, first countable. We can show that T is a continuous image of a first countable arc. Hence, X is a continuous image of a first countable compact LOTS.

3. Cellularity

Recall that in compact spaces, perfectly normality is equivalent to hereditary Lindelöfness. Recall also that for a monotonically normal space X, $c(X) = hc(X) = hL(X)$ ([9]). Hence, a monotonically normal compactum is perfectly normal iff it is ccc. The first thing to notice then is that, in the setting of cellularity, there are no analogues of either theorem 2 or corollary 3. For a perfectly normal space which is a continuous image of a compact LOTS can not always be embedded into a perfectly normal continuum which is a continuous image of an arc- the double arrow space being the basic counterexample. To set this observation in a general setting, recall that a continuous image of an arc is locally connected, each closed subset of a perfectly normal space is a G_δ-set, and the following theorem of Daniel.

Theorem 3 ([2]). *A space which is the continuous image of a compact LOTS is metrizable if and only if it is separable and can be embedded as a G_δ-set into a locally connected continuum.*

But there is an easy analogue of corollary 1.

Corollary 4. *A perfectly normal space which is a continuous image of a compact LOTS is a continuous image of a perfectly normal compact LOTS.*

On the other hand:

Proposition 1. *Let X be a space which is ccc and which is a continuous image of a compact LOTS. Then X is a continuous image of a perfectly normal compact LOTS, and as such is perfectly normal.*

Proof. By theorem 1, X is a continuous image of a compact LOTS which is ccc. But a ccc LOTS is perfectly normal (it is monotonically normal for instance). □

A Souslin line is a LOTS which is ccc but not separable.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a ccc and monotonically normal compactum. Then*

1. *X has a dense perfectly normal non-archimdean (and orderable) subspace.*
2. *X contains either*
    - (i) *a dense metrizable subspace, or,*
    - (ii) *a dense subspace which is a Souslin line.*
3. *Assume $MA_{\aleph_1}$. Then X has a dense metrizable subspace.*

Proof. (1) By proposition 1, X is perfectly normal. A perfectly normal compact space is first countable. By corollary 2, X contains a dense non-archimdean subspace D which is, of course, perfectly normal. A perfectly normal non-archimedean space is orderable [10].

(2) Suppose X contains no dense metrizable subspace. Then D from (1) is non-metrizable, orderable, ccc (since $c(X) = hc(X)$) and not separable. That is, D is a Souslin line.
(3) $\mathrm{MA}_{\aleph_1}$ negates the existence of Souslin lines. □

Corollary 5. *Assume $MA_{\aleph_1}$. If a monotonically normal compactum X contains no dense metrizable subspace, then it contains an uncountable discrete subspace.*

Let L denote an arbitrary LOTS, and X an arbitrary space. L is called convex σ-dcc if every antichain of convex open sets in L is σ-discrete. X is said to be K_0' if it has a σ-discrete dense subspace. σ-dcc and K_0' are generalizations of ccc and separability, respectively. A generalized Souslin line is a LOTS which is convex σ-dcc but not K_0' (note that in GO-spaces, the convex σ-dcc property coincides with perfect normality). The reader is refered to [6] for more details and relevant information. There, it was shown that $\mathrm{MA}_{\aleph_1}$ is not sufficient to negate the existence of generalized Souslin lines.

Corollary 6. *Assume $MA_{\aleph_1}$. The Dedekind completion of any generalized Souslin line contains an uncountable discrete subspace (and thus is never perfectly normal).*

A (compact) Souslin line contains no dense metrizable subspace. Thus,

Corollary 7. *The existence of a ccc monotonically normal compactum that contains no dense metrizable subspace is independent of ZFC.*

By split interval we denote the familiar double arrow space of Alexandroff. The following theorem properly belongs to folklore.

Theorem 5. *A compact space is a continuous image of the split interval if and only if it is separable and monotonically normal.*

Proof. Let X be separable and monotonically normal compact space. By corollary 1, X is a continuous image of a separable compact LOTS. Now, each separable compact LOTS is a continuous image of the split interval. □

Denote, by SH, Soulsin's Hypothesis that there are no Souslin lines.

Theorem 6. *(1) Assume SH holds. A compact space is a continuous image of the split interval if and only if it is ccc and monotonically normal.*
(2) Assume SH fails. A compact space is a continuous image of a compact Souslin line if and only if it is ccc and monotonically normal.

Proof. (1) Let X be a compact space which is ccc and monotonically normal. Then X is the image of a compact LOTS K which is ccc. Since SH holds, K is separable, and hence X is separable. By theorem 5, X is an image of the split interval.
(2) Let X be a compact space which is ccc and monotonically normal. If X is not separable, X is a continuous image of a compact LOTS K which is ccc and non-separable. That is, X is an image of a compact Souslin line K. Suppose now that X is separable. By the previous result, it suffices to show that the double arrow space is an image of a Souslin line. The usual construction of Souslin line from a Souslin tree, for instance, gives a transfinite inverse sequence $\mathcal{S} = (X_\alpha, f_\alpha^\beta, \omega_1)$ of copies of $[0, 1]$ with monotone surjective maps such that $X = lim\ inv\ \mathcal{S}$. In particular, we have a Souslin continuum S and a monotone surjective map $f : S \to [0, 1]$. For each point $x \in [0, 1]$, split a point s chosen from the $f^{-1}(x)$ into two points. The

resulting space, denoted by S', clearly admits has a continuous map onto the split interval. Moreover, S' is still ccc and not separable, so it is a compact Souslin line. □

References

1. W. Bula and M. Turzanski, *A characterization of continuous images of compact ordered spaces and the Hahn-Mazurkiewicz problem*, Topology Appl. **22** (1986), no. 1, 7–17.
2. D. Daniel, *On metrizability of images of ordered compacta*, Hous. J. Math. **32** (2006) 1047–1059.
3. R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
4. A. Farhat, *Subspaces of monotonically normal compacta*, in preparation.
5. S. Mardesic and P. Papic, *Continuous images of ordered compacta, the Suslin property and diadic compacta*, Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. Drukštvo Mat. Fiz. Hrvatske SER. II **17** (1963), 3–25.
6. Y. Qiao and F. Tall, *Perfectly Normal Non-metrizable Non-Archimedean Spaces are Generalized Souslin Lines*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 866–871.
7. J. Nikiel, *Some problems on continuous images of compact ordered spaces*, Question Answers Gen. Topology **4** (1986) 117–128.
8. J. Nikiel, *Images of arcs - a nonseparable version of the Hahn-Mazurkiewicz theorem*, Fund. Math. **129** (1988) 91–120, .
9. A. J. Ostaszewski, *Monotone normality and G_δ-diagonals in the class of inductively generated spaces*, in Topology, vol. 23, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Budapest, 1978, pp. 905–930.
10. S. Purisch, *On the orderability of Stone-Cech compactifications* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973) 55-66.
11. M. E. Rudin, *Nikiel's conjecture*, Topology Appl. **116** (2001), no. 3, 305–331.
12. L. B. Treybig, *Concerning continuous images of compact ordered spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 866–871.
13. S. W. Williams and H. Zhou, *Order-like structure of monotonically normal spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **39** (1998), no. 1, 207–217.

Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

E-mail address: `ahmad.farhat@math.uni.wroc.pl`